

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

MAURICE OAKLEY CLAY,

Petitioner,

v.

Case No. 11-13868

DAVID BERGH,

Respondent.

**ORDER DENYING PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
AND MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION**

Maurice Clay moves for the production of documents and for reconsideration of an order denying his motion to appoint counsel. He continues to "provide[] no good reason to believe the State has withheld" documents. (Dkt. # 14.) He continues to raise no good reason to conduct discovery. See Rule 6(a), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. And he identifies no defect in the order denying the motion to appoint counsel. See E.D. Mich. LR 7.1(h)(3). Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that the motions for the production of documents and for reconsideration [Dkt. # 17] are DENIED.

s/Robert H. Cleland
ROBERT H. CLELAND
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: September 11, 2013

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was mailed to counsel of record on this date, September 11, 2013, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

s/Lisa Wagner
Case Manager and Deputy Clerk
(313) 234-5522